

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/817,102	Applicant(s) CAUFIELD ET AL.		
	Examiner SARA E BAUSCH	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 1634</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1634	
Art Unit 1634				

All Participants:

(1) SARA E BAUSCH.

(2) Irina Vainberg.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4, 20, 44-46

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sarae Bausch/
Primary Examiner AU 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed proposed amendment to the claims. Applicants representative agreed with the amendment to claims 4 and 20 and proposed additional amendment to claims 44-46. The examiner agreed that the proposed amendment to claims 44-46 were allowable.